

**BRANDON DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD HEARING**  
**MAY 31, 2017**  
**APPEAL # 5879**  
**APPELLANT: JAMES DISORDA**  
**LANDOWNER: KJELL & LINDA THOMPSON**

Board members present: Amber Lee, Bob Clark, John Peterson, Sam Stone, Tom Bohler

Others present: Hillary Knapp, Secretary, Anna Sheck, Linda and Kjell Thompson, Vicki Disorda, James Disorda, Lance Mead

The hearing was opened at 7:00pm

The warning was read and found to be in order.

Kjell and Linda Thompson, Anna Sheck Vicki and James Disorda were sworn in.

The following people requested interested party status:

Tom Bohler has requested himself from the meeting and voting and removed himself from the meeting.

**James Disorda:** His daughter will speak on his behalf.

**Vicki Disorda:** Submitted Exhibit A for the review board

**Sam Stone:** Need a summary to share for the rest of the room.

**Bob Clark:** The Thompson need to have a copy since it is being submitted into evidence.

**Vicki Disorda:** They feel comfortable for the location of the storage shed and are not appealing that decision.

They have an appeal to the junk being stored in the area. (\*\* See Exhibit A to add what was read out loud for the DRB board and the attendees at the meeting)

Copy of Exhibit A was given to the land owner.

**Sam Stone:** Everything was given to the DRB board members before hand, except for what was read by Vicki Disorda.

**Kjell Thompson:** Purchased the property in 1999 and it was a landfill and was Sid Rosen's trailer park.

Spent a while cleaning up the landfill that was left in the property and the swamp. There were 2 mobile homes with town sewer and town water. One of the homes was higher than the other. One of the trailers was taken off the property right after the purchase and the other one was taken apart from the inside out.

The trailer was in the buffer zone not in the floodplain. Material was added to the land to raise it up so one unit was raised to meet the level of the other trailer.

Ceder trees were added to help with the rain water run-off.

Exhibit B (letter read by Kjell Thompson)

The lot was still considered a building. Does not want to put the building on the larger lot, because a house might be put on the larger lot for them to use or their children to use to build another house. The building would be in the way if other houses were going to be constructed.

Picture of old trailers that were on the property when it was purchased was shown.

500 bales of hay are cut at one time and the equipment is parked there with the hay and is gone within 2 to 3 weeks. Use the equipment and is part of what they do in their retirement.

The building will be used to cover the equipment up, instead of having to tarp the equipment. Decided to have the building be permitted bigger just in case it ends up being larger than what they are planning on building.

Was saving some of the parts from the trailer to be used but this has been gotten rid of.

The vehicles that were not registered and were being used for parts and he will get rid of one of the cars if he needs to be.

The rest of the vehicles are registered and road worthy and some are farm equipment that he uses for haying.

**Linda Thompson:**

Done a lot of work on the property over the years and fix it up and make it presentable. Use the equipment to hay other property that is owned. Brand new items are not purchased and used are purchased to be used and fixed up.

The storage shed is going to be used to keep things from being tarped. It will look better than having the equipment being stored with a tarp.

**Kjell Thompson:**

The equipment is being store in a 24' area at this point in time.

**Linda Thompson:**

Does not want to put it on the bigger property as they are on an angle. No other houses would be able to be put up on the lot if the storage unit is constructed there.

**Anna Sheck:**

Mr. Thompson came in and asked for a permit, met the set-back required. The State came in and it was not in a flood-hazard area.

The permit was issued and the appeal was sent in to DRB.

There were two junk vehicles in the area and they needed to be 50 feet from the property line and not in view. There were things that are not considered junk on the property and can be on property. One of the junk vehicles were moved from the property.

Zappatas came down and looked at the property and it could be moved or asked for a wet-land permits to build the storage unit.

This is an appeal to grant the storage unit. Where the storage unit is going to be sits higher than the rest of the property that drops off.

The property has been used this way for years and the permit meet the requirement for Anna to issue the permit.

**Vicki Disorda:**

Showed a picture of when they filed the appeal of what they are looking at from their front steps of the Thompson property. Some of the vehicles and equipment have been moved since the picture was taken.

Logged in Exhibit C: Letter from Town of Brandon of residences on the property before it was purchased by the Thompson's.

(Use Exhibit A)

**Sam Stone:**

Hearing about an appeal, we are only here to uphold the zoning administrators decision or uphold the appeal. It is for the structure permit only and not for anything else.

**Kjell Thompson:**

The town made the land contiguous and it is over an 8 acre parcel.

**Anna Scheck:**

The new tax map shows them as one over 8 acre lot and could be sold as more than one lot. It could be sold as two different lots.

Meeting is closed at 7:45pm

Sam Stone:

I moved that we uphold the zoning administrations decision to build a 24'x 48'x 18' storage shed.

Seconded by Amber.

Meet the set-back requirements.

Voted 4-0